

Description	County Planning Board September 22, 2009		
Date	09/22/2009	Location	County Planning Board
Time	Speaker	Note	
6:01:44 PM	President Kerry White	Call to Order. Members Present: Kerry White, Marianne Jackson Amsden, C.B. Dormire, Don Seifert, Mike McKenna, Doug Espelien, Julien Morice, Susan Riggs, Byron Anderson, Pat Davis. Staff Present: County Administrator/Interim Planning Director Earl Mathers, Planner Sean O'Callaghan, Finance Officer Ed Blackman, Grants and Projects Administrator Larry Watson, and Recording Secretary Glenda Howze	
6:01:52 PM	President Kerry White	Public Comment.	
6:02:06 PM		There was no public comment on matters not on the agenda.	
6:02:08 PM	President Kerry White	Approval of August 25, 2009 Minutes.	
6:02:22 PM		The minutes stand approved as presented.	
6:02:24 PM	President Kerry White	Planning Department Update.	
6:02:29 PM	Sean O'Callaghan	The Commission passed the transportation amendments in August. Prior to that there were some other minor changes made to the subdivision regulations. The Planning Department is working on publishing a new draft which should be ready by the next meeting. The draft will include all of the changes made in the last year. The Four Corners Zoning District regulation was adopted this last week as well. At the last meeting the Board requested an update on the final application numbers for FY 2009. This information will be sent to the Board via memo or email, but the numbers are as followed: FY 2009:122 Land Use Permits, 57 zoning applications, 61 subdivision applications, 45 flood plain applications, 81 exemption requests, 5 conservation easements, and 15 miscellaneous applications. Ada also asked Sean to provide an update on the Parks and Trails committee to the Board. The Interconnect project is halfway through Phase II, the roundtable discussions.	
6:05:18 PM	President	Regular Agenda	

	Kerry White	
6:05:22 PM		a. FY 2010 Budget presentation and discussion.
6:05:29 PM	Ed Blackman, Finance Director	Presentation. Presented the final preliminary budget numbers that will most likely be adopted on September 29th as well as a memo that explains the rationale of the Commission in their setting of the Planning Board budget. The Planning Board budget was increased from the original preliminary budget by \$31,215 in order for the Board to complete the projects that it has deemed priorities as well as maintain the transfer amount to the Planning Department. The proposed budget is for \$241,215. (documents attached to minutes)
6:09:06 PM		b. Discussion and decision on a recommendation to the County Commission to approve funding for Stahly Engineering completion of Phase II of the Waste Water Study.
6:09:35 PM	C.B. Dormire	Presentation. The sub-committee unanimously agrees to recommend approval of the document.
6:16:57 PM	President Kerry White	The total amount that we'll be voting on is \$45,000 for Phase II.
6:17:55 PM	Public Comment	Public Comment. Kevin Cook and Merle Adams spoke in support of the agreement as presented.
6:20:29 PM		Board discussion.
6:20:39 PM	Don Seifert	Stahly Engineering has said that they see a certain portion of this as a public service project. They live, work and reside within the study area. That adds more value to this because what we will get out of it from their public service aspect will be great. What we will get out of it is from the right firm, at the right time, and the right project.
6:21:35 PM	President Kerry White	Complimented C.B. for all the hard work on the committee and all the meetings as well as Don [Seifert]; also Sean with Planning and the work on development of the scope. I think the spreadsheet that will be provided to the County when this is done will be very valuable. It will be a workable spreadsheet that the County will own. We can plug in values and densities and it will be a working tool the county will own. Stated that he agrees with Don that this is the right time for engineering to put something like this out there; we will get a lot of value for our dollars and urge the board to support the agreement.
6:22:42 PM	Byron Anderson	Requested clarification that the \$45,000 would be committed entirely from the Planning Board budget and from what area in the budget it would be taken from. [Purchased or contracted services.]
6:23:25 PM	C.B.	I move that the board approve the agreement in the form presented

	Dormire	at this meeting with such clerical, administrative and other changes, if any, as the subcommittee (the wastewater and water subcommittee) shall deem necessary and appropriate and not materially less favorable to the County than those set forth in the form so presented. [Digressed from motion for a brief discussion.] Continuing with my motion I move that the Planning Board further recommend to Commission that the contract in such form be entered into as expeditiously as is practicable and that as the Commission has indicated it contemplates the provision for its funding be made by the Commission either in the Planning Board's budget as proposed or otherwise.
6:26:05 PM	Don Seifert	Second.
6:26:16 PM	Byron Anderson	I'm assuming that the motion is everything below the "Now Therefore Let It Be Resolved" [portion of the draft resolution]? I have a little discomfort with leaving the subcommittee the opportunity to still maneuver and us pass out a resolution saying it is a done product and want it to move forward as such. That is the way this is worded and the way it was read it, I've just got some discomfort with doing business that way.
6:27:25 PM	Marianne Jackson Amsden	I think the motion was worded that we approve something rather than we recommend to the Commission that they approve it?
6:27:49 PM	Glenda Howze, Recording Secretary	I believe the first part of the motion was read such that the Planning Board was approving it and the second part was recommending that the Commission approve it as well.
6:28:02 PM	C.B. Dormire	I'm not myself entirely positive what the Commission's view is in terms of whether it needs actually to approve this or whether it would act in causing it to be executed based on our approval or both. It was an effort to leave up to them how they want to determine that they are going to proceed but letting them know our feelings about the document. On Byron's point, there are likely to be some dates inserted once we know when the thing will be signed and it was impossible to include all of those in this particular draft. The substance of it, there wasn't any thought that there would be any such changes.
6:30:21 PM	Byron Anderson.	I recognize the flexibility that you're trying to leave in this. If the motion was just to approve the \$45,000 to spend on this project and the County Commission has the final say, then so be it. I support the \$45,000 but don't know if I'll vote for it this way.
6:31:09 PM		Vote: 9-1; Member Anderson opposed.
6:31:44 PM	President	Other Business.

	Kerry White	
6:31:46 PM	Marianne Jackson Amsden	Thanked the Commission for recognizing the importance of funding the projects that they agreed to are important for this Planning Board. Also appreciated the comment on the handout tonight that both the Planning Department and the Commission stressed the need to adequately support the Planning Board.
6:32:09 PM	Julien Morice	Stated that he'd like to elaborate on what Marianne said and thank the budget committee for having a little more faith in the ability to get more money than I did. Nice work.
6:32:25 PM	Byron Anderson	I got a little heartburn in the fact that we actually applied for a budget of \$185,000 and now we end up with a budget of \$240,000 sugar coated in the sense that mills are lower so that it was okay to spend the extra money. That didn't mean that it was going in all of the directions that we had anticipated it should go, however.
6:32:57 PM		Meeting adjourned.

Produced by FTR Gold™
www.fortherecord.com